

By email (response@hkex.com.hk) and by hand

31 August 2018

Our Ref.: C/RIF, BH41506

Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited 10th Floor, One International Finance Centre 1 Harbour View Street, Central Hong Kong

Dear Sirs.

Re: Consultation Paper on Backdoor Listing, Continuing Listing Criteria and other Rule Amendments

Please find attached a submission from the Hong Kong Institute of Certified Public Accountants on the above consultation paper.

Broadly speaking, we agree with need to ensure that issuers do not seek to circumvent the normal requirements for new listings by exploiting gaps in the listing rules to achieve a listing of assets "through the backdoor", or by means a "reverse takeover". In this regard, we support the aims of many of the proposed changes. However, we are also concerned that the rules should not be tightened in such a way as to restrict genuine commercial and business development activities, especially given that, with shorter business cycles, it may be more often the case, in future, that business owners have sound reasons to discontinue running an existing business line and to move progressively into new line of business for the benefit of all shareholders.

As regards some specific issues, in the context of the codification of "extreme transactions", the proposals arguably discriminate against the expansion by merger and acquisition of smaller "growth" and "new economy" companies, which may not have a principal business with an annual revenue or total asset value of at least HK\$1 billion.

We have reservations whether transactions should be defined to include proposed transactions, particularly where, for example, a financially-distressed issuer is undergoing a provisional liquidation and the provisional liquidator may be looking for an investor who could potentially save the business, jobs and, possibly also, obtain a better return for minority shareholders.

We consider that further explanations, clarifications and/or definitions need to be provided in relation to terms such as "de facto control", "material disposal" and "securities brokerage business", and how these concepts will be applied.

Therefore, we support the objective of tackling shell activities to maintain the reputation of the Hong Kong market and quality of listed companies, and we go along with many of the detailed proposals. At the same time, as our responses to the relevant questions in the attached questionnaire indicate, there is room for greater clarity in certain areas and more flexibility in others.

Tel電話: (852) 2287 7228 Website網址: www.hkicpa.org.hk

(852) 2865 6603



Should you have any questions on this submission, please feel free to contact me at the Institute.

Yours faithfully,

Peter Tisman
Director, Advocacy & Practice Development

PMT/NCL/pk

Encl.



Hong Kong Institute of Certified Public Accountants

香港會計師公會

QUESTIONNAIRE ON BACKDOOR LISTING, CONTINUING LISTING CRITERIA AND OTHER RULE AMENDMENTS

We invite interested parties to respond to the Consultation Paper on Backdoor Listing, Continuing Listing Criteria and Other Rule Amendments (**Consultation Paper**), which can be downloaded from the HKEX website at:

http://www.hkex.com.hk/-/media/HKEX-Market/News/Market-Consultations/2016-Present/June-2018-Backdoor-and-Continuing-Listing/Consultation-Paper/cp201806.pdf

This Questionnaire contains the Privacy Policy Statement; Part A: General Information of Respondents; and Part B: Consultation Questions.

All responses should be made in writing by completing and returning to HKEX both Part A and Part B of this Questionnaire no later than **31 August 2018** by one of the following methods:

By mail or Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited hand delivery to: 10th Floor. One International Finance Centre

1 Harbour View Street, Central

Hong Kong

Re: Consultation Paper on Backdoor Listing, Continuing Listing Criteria and Other Rule

Amendments

By fax to: (852) 2524-0149

By e-mail to: response@hkex.com.hk

Please mark in the subject line:

Re: Consultation Paper on Backdoor Listing, Continuing Listing Criteria and Other Rule

Amendments

Our submission enquiry number is (852) 2840-3844.

The names of persons who submit comments together with the whole or part of their submissions may be disclosed to members of the public. If you do not wish your name to be published please indicate so in Part A.

Privacy Policy Statement

Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited, and from time to time, its subsidiaries (together the "Group") (and each being "HKEX", "we", "us" or "member of the Group" for the purposes of this Privacy Policy Statement as appropriate) recognise their responsibilities in relation to the collection, holding, processing, use and/or transfer of personal data under the Personal Data (Privacy) Ordinance (Cap. 486) ("PDPO"). Personal data will be collected only for lawful and relevant purposes and all practicable steps will be taken to ensure that personal data held by us is accurate. We will use your personal data which we may from time to time collect in accordance with this Privacy Policy Statement.

We regularly review this Privacy Policy Statement and may from time to time revise it or add specific instructions, policies and terms. Where any changes to this Privacy Policy Statement are material, we will notify you using the contact details you have provided us with and, where required by the PDPO, give you the opportunity to opt out of these changes by means notified to you at that time. Otherwise, in relation to personal data supplied to us through the HKEX website or otherwise, continued use by you of the HKEX website or your continued relationship with us shall be deemed to be your acceptance of and consent to this Privacy Policy Statement, as amended from time to time.

If you have any questions about this Privacy Policy Statement or how we use your personal data, please contact us through one of the communication channels set out in the "Contact Us" section below.

We will take all practicable steps to ensure the security of the personal data and to avoid unauthorised or accidental access, erasure or other use. This includes physical, technical and procedural security methods, where appropriate, to ensure that the personal data may only be accessed by authorised personnel.

Please note that if you do not provide us with your personal data (or relevant personal data relating to persons appointed by you to act on your behalf) we may not be able to provide the information, products or services you have asked for or process your requests, applications, subscriptions or registrations, and may not be able to perform or discharge the Regulatory Functions (defined below).

Purpose

From time to time we may collect your personal data including but not limited to your name, mailing address, telephone number, email address, date of birth and login name for the following purposes:

- 1. to process your applications, subscriptions and registration for our products and services:
- 2. to perform or discharge the functions of HKEX and any company of which HKEX is the recognised exchange controller (as defined in the Securities and Futures Ordinance (Cap. 571)) ("Regulatory Functions");
- 3. to provide you with our products and services and administer your account in relation to such products and services;
- 4. to conduct research and statistical analysis;
- 5. to process your application for employment or engagement within HKEX to assess your suitability as a candidate for such position and to conduct reference checks with your previous employers; and
- 6. other purposes directly relating to any of the above.

Direct marketing

Where you have given your consent and have not subsequently opted out, we may also use your name, mailing address, telephone number and email address to send promotional materials to you and conduct direct marketing activities in relation to HKEX financial services and information services, and financial services and information services of the Group.

If you do not wish to receive any promotional and direct marketing materials from us or do not wish to receive particular types of promotional and direct marketing materials or do not wish to receive such materials through any particular means of communication, please contact us through one of the communication channels set out in the "Contact Us" section below. To ensure that your request can be processed quickly please provide your full name, email address, log in name and details of the product and/or service you have subscribed.

Identity Card Number

We may also collect your identity card number and process this as required under applicable law or regulation, as required by any regulator having authority over us and, subject to the PDPO, for the purpose of identifying you where it is reasonable for your identity card number to be used for this purpose.

Transfers of personal data for direct marketing purposes

Except to the extent you have already opted out we may transfer your name, mailing address, telephone number and email address to other members of the Group for the purpose of enabling those members of the Group to send promotional materials to you and conduct direct marketing activities in relation to their financial services and information services.

Other transfers of your personal data

For one or more of the purposes specified above, your personal data may be:

- 1. transferred to other members of the Group and made available to appropriate persons in the Group, in Hong Kong or elsewhere and in this regard you consent to the transfer of your data outside of Hong Kong;
- 2. supplied to any agent, contractor or third party who provides administrative, telecommunications, computer, payment, debt collection, data processing or other services to HKEX and/or any of other member of the Group in Hong Kong or elsewhere; and
- 3. other parties as notified to you at the time of collection.

How we use cookies

If you access our information or services through the HKEX website, you should be aware that cookies are used. Cookies are data files stored on your browser. The HKEX website automatically installs and uses cookies on your browser when you access it. Two kinds of cookies are used on the HKEX website:

Session Cookies: temporary cookies that only remain in your browser until the time you leave the HKEX website, which are used to obtain and store configuration information and administer the HKEX website, including carrying information from one page to another as you browse the site so as to, for example, avoid you having to reenter information on each page that you visit. Session cookies are also used to compile anonymous statistics about the use of the HKEX website.

Persistent Cookies: cookies that remain in your browser for a longer period of time for the purpose of compiling anonymous statistics about the use of the HKEX website or to track and record user preferences.

The cookies used in connection with the HKEX website do not contain personal data. You may refuse to accept cookies on your browser by modifying the settings in your browser or internet security software. However, if you do so you may not be able to utilise or activate certain functions available on the HKEX website.

Compliance with laws and regulations

HKEX and other members of the Group may be required to retain, process and/or disclose your personal data in order to comply with applicable laws and regulations or in order to comply with a court order, subpoena or other legal process (whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere), or to comply with a request by a government authority, law enforcement agency or similar body (whether situated in Hong Kong or elsewhere) or to perform or discharge the Regulatory Functions. HKEX and other members of the Group may need to disclose your personal data in order to enforce any agreement with you, protect our rights, property or safety, or the rights, property or safety of our employees, or to perform or discharge the Regulatory Functions.

Corporate reorganisation

As we continue to develop our business, we may reorganise our group structure, undergo a change of control or business combination. In these circumstances it may be the case that your personal data is transferred to a third party who will continue to operate our business or a similar service under either this Privacy Policy Statement or a different privacy policy statement which will be notified to you. Such a third party may be located, and use of your personal data may be made, outside of Hong Kong in connection with such acquisition or reorganisation.

Access and correction of personal data

Under the PDPO, you have the right to ascertain whether we hold your personal data, to obtain a copy of the data, and to correct any data that is inaccurate. You may also request us to inform you of the type of personal data held by us. All data access requests shall be made using the form prescribed by the Privacy Commissioner for Personal Data ("Privacy Commissioner") which may be found on the official website of the Office of the Privacy Commissioner or via this link:

https://www.pcpd.org.hk/english/publications/files/Dforme.pdf

Requests for access and correction of personal data or for information regarding policies and practices and kinds of data held by us should be addressed in writing and sent by post to us (see the "Contact Us" section below).

A reasonable fee may be charged to offset our administrative and actual costs incurred in complying with your data access requests.

Termination or cancellation

Should your account or relationship with us be cancelled or terminated at any time, we shall cease processing your personal data as soon as reasonably practicable following such cancellation or termination, provided that we may keep copies of your data as is reasonably required for archival purposes, for use in relation to any actual or potential dispute, for the purpose of compliance with applicable laws and regulations and for the purpose of enforcing any agreement we have with you, for protecting our rights, property or safety, or the rights, property or safety of our employees, and for performing or discharging our functions, obligations and responsibilities.

General

If there is any inconsistency or conflict between the English and Chinese versions of this Privacy Policy Statement, the English version shall prevail.

Contact us

By Post:
Personal Data Privacy Officer
Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited
50/F., One Exchange Square
8 Connaught Place
Central
Hong Kong

By Email:

DataPrivacy@HKEX.COM.HK

Part A General Information of the Respondent

(1) Please state whether your response represents your personal or your company / entity's view by checking (☑) the boxes below and filling in the information as appropriate:

☑ Company / I	Entity view
---------------	-------------

Company/ Entity name*:	Hong Kong Institute of Certified Public Accountants
Company/ Entity type*:	☐ Listed Company ☐ HKEX Participant
	\square Investment Management Firm \square Corporate Finance Firm
	☐ Law Firm ☐ Accountancy Firm
	☑ Professional Body / Industry Association
	\square None of the above (Type
Contact person*:	Mr/Ms/Mrs <i>Mr. Peter Tisman</i>
Title: Director, Adv	ocacy and Practice Development
Phone no.*: 2287 7	Email address: peter@hkicpa.org.hk
☐ Personal view	
Respondent's full name*	Mr / Ms / Mrs
Phone no.*:	Email address:
Among the following, ple	ase select the one best describing your position*:
☐ Listed Company Staff	☐ HKEX Participant Staff ☐ Individual Investor
☐ Investment Managem	ent Staff $\ \square$ Corporate Finance Staff $\ \square$ Lawyer
☐ Accountant	☐ None of the above (Type:
)	

Important note: All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are mandatory. HKEX may use the contact information above to verify the identity of the respondent. Responses without valid contact details may be treated as invalid.

(2)	Disclosure of identity
	HKEX may publish the identity of the respondent together with Part B of this response to the members of the public. Respondents who do not wish their identities to be published should check the box below:
	$\hfill \square$ I/We do not wish to disclose my/our identity to the members of the public.
Sig	nature (with Company/ Entity Chop if the response represents company/ entity view)

Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below that are raised in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

http://www.hkex.com.hk/-/media/HKEX-Market/News/Market-Consultations/2016-Present/June-2018-Backdoor-and-Continuing-Listing/Consultation-Paper/cp201806.pdf

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

ραί	geo.
1.	Do you agree with the proposal to codify the assessment criteria under the principle based test in a Note to the proposed Rule 14.06B?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
	Yes, in principle, subject to our comments in response to questions 2 and 3 below. Generally, we agree with the proposal, as codifying the assessment criteria will give more clarity to financial advisers as to the circumstances in which HKEX will consider an acquisition, or a series of acquisitions, by a listed issuer to be a reverse takeover.
2.	Do you agree with the proposal to extend the current criterion "issue of restricted convertible securities" in the principle based test to include any change in control or de facto control of issuers?
	□ Yes
	□ No
	☐ No If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

We note that there is no clear definition of "de facto control", and, therefore, extending the criterion to include this concept may entail the exercise of a substantial degree of discretion and judgement by HKEX. In addition, the concept could be confusing to a market more familiar with the broader concept of "control" or "controlling shareholder", under other areas of the listing rules. It is suggested, therefore, that a clearer definition of "de facto control", or explanation of how the concept will be determined and applied, needs to be provided; otherwise it should be its inclusion should be reconsidered.

Among the specific uncertainties raised by the proposal is the question of whether it is it sufficient for any one of the indicative factors (listed below) to be present, in order for HKEX to decide that there has been a change in de facto control, or is the presence or two, or all three, indicative factors required? Could other factors also be introduced into the mix and, if so, what factors?

- (i) any substantial change in the issuer's board of directors and key management
- (ii) any change in its single largest substantial shareholder
- (iii) issue of restricted convertible securities

It is also suggesed that HKEX clarify the following:

- (a) How to define "substantial change" in relation to factor (i) above?
- (b) In relation to factor (ii) above, in some instances, the single largest substantial shareholder of a company may hold only a relatively small portion of issued share capital of the issuer (e.g., 10% or 11%) and will probably not have any effective control over the issuer. Would a change in such a shareholder be regarded as a change of de facto control?

Furthermore, in companies with dual class shares, which are now permitted to be listed, subject to conditions, the minority shareholder(s), rather than the single largest substantial shareholder, may have the effective control over the issuer.

We suggest the HKEX give more guidance on these situations, to provide greater clarity and consistency to market practitioners.

3.	(a)	As regards the "series of arrangements" criterion, do you agree with the proposal to include transactions and arrangements that take place in reasonable proximity or are otherwise related and normally within a three-year period?
		Yes
		No
	If y	our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
	(i) (ii) a s co for pu pr	Whether transactions with independent third parties will be aggregated. Why it is deemed necessary to extend the existing two-year period for series of transactions to three years. We note (from footnote 11 of the insultation paper) that the Australian Securities Exchange listing rules, rexample, will generally look at transactions over two years for the irposes of the shareholders' approval requirement. If the present oposal is, e.g., related to the track-record period for the Main Board tings, will the two-year period be retained for Growth Enterprise Market EM) issuers?
	of arc ca ot iss of the ag	The rationale for using market capitalisation as an alternative means calculating the percentage ratios in determining whether acquisitions every substantial (see paragraph 47 of the consultation paper). Market pitalisation may be dependent on the state of the market as whole, or her external factors at any given time, and be outside the control of the suer. It would appear from the proposal that HKEX could take the lower the market capitalisation at the time of the first acquisition and that at extime of the latest acquisition, three years' later, and compare this ainst the aggregated considerations for acquisitions over the three-year riod. But is it questionable whether this would be a like for like imparison?
	res es fre dis	is our view that HKEX should not tighten the rules in such a way as to strict genuine commercial and business development activities, pecially given that, with shorter business cycles, it may be more equently the case in future that business owners have sound reasons to scontinue running an existing business line and to move progressively to new line of business for the benefit of all shareholders.

(b) Do you agree with the proposal to amend the RTO Rule 14.06B to clarify that

 Yes No If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views. See the questions raised in item (ii) of the response to question 3(a) regarding the extension of the existing two-year period to three years. (a) Do you agree with the proposed changes to Rule 14.92 (proposed Rule 14. as described in paragraph 56 of the Consultation Paper? ✓ Yes No If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views. We agree, in principle, with the proposal in relation to material disposals, provided that there is clarity as to what constitutes a "material disposal". Will this be determined with reference to the size test for notifiable transactions? Regarding the three-year period, please see the questions raised in item (ii) of the response to question 3(a) regarding the extension of the existing two-year period to three years. (b) Do you agree with the proposal to add a Note to proposed Rule 14.06E described in paragraph 59 of the Consultation Paper? ✓ Yes No 		months to 36 months under the bright line test currently set out in Ru 14.06(6)(b)?
If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views. See the questions raised in item (ii) of the response to question 3(a) regarding the extension of the existing two-year period to three years. (a) Do you agree with the proposed changes to Rule 14.92 (proposed Rule 14. as described in paragraph 56 of the Consultation Paper? ✓ Yes No If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views. We agree, in principle, with the proposal in relation to material disposals, provided that there is clarity as to what constitutes a "material disposal". Will this be determined with reference to the size test for notifiable transactions? Regarding the three-year period, please see the questions raised in item (ii) of the response to question 3(a) regarding the extension of the existing two-year period to three years. (b) Do you agree with the proposal to add a Note to proposed Rule 14.06E described in paragraph 59 of the Consultation Paper?		Yes
See the questions raised in item (ii) of the response to question 3(a) regarding the extension of the existing two-year period to three years. (a) Do you agree with the proposed changes to Rule 14.92 (proposed Rule 14. as described in paragraph 56 of the Consultation Paper? ✓ Yes □ No If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views. We agree, in principle, with the proposal in relation to material disposals, provided that there is clarity as to what constitutes a "material disposal". Will this be determined with reference to the size test for notifiable transactions? Regarding the three-year period, please see the questions raised in item (ii) of the response to question 3(a) regarding the extension of the existing two-year period to three years. (b) Do you agree with the proposal to add a Note to proposed Rule 14.06E described in paragraph 59 of the Consultation Paper?		No
regarding the extension of the existing two-year period to three years. (a) Do you agree with the proposed changes to Rule 14.92 (proposed Rule 14. as described in paragraph 56 of the Consultation Paper? ✓ Yes No If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views. We agree, in principle, with the proposal in relation to material disposals, provided that there is clarity as to what constitutes a "material disposal". Will this be determined with reference to the size test for notifiable transactions? Regarding the three-year period, please see the questions raised in item (ii) of the response to question 3(a) regarding the extension of the existin two-year period to three years. (b) Do you agree with the proposal to add a Note to proposed Rule 14.06E described in paragraph 59 of the Consultation Paper?	If y	our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
as described in paragraph 56 of the Consultation Paper? ✓ Yes No If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views. We agree, in principle, with the proposal in relation to material disposals, provided that there is clarity as to what constitutes a "material disposal". Will this be determined with reference to the size test for notifiable transactions? Regarding the three-year period, please see the questions raised in item (ii) of the response to question 3(a) regarding the extension of the existing two-year period to three years. (b) Do you agree with the proposal to add a Note to proposed Rule 14.06E described in paragraph 59 of the Consultation Paper?		
 □ No If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views. We agree, in principle, with the proposal in relation to material disposals, provided that there is clarity as to what constitutes a "material disposal". Will this be determined with reference to the size test for notifiable transactions? Regarding the three-year period, please see the questions raised in item (ii) of the response to question 3(a) regarding the extension of the existing two-year period to three years. (b) Do you agree with the proposal to add a Note to proposed Rule 14.06E described in paragraph 59 of the Consultation Paper? 	(a)	
If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views. We agree, in principle, with the proposal in relation to material disposals, provided that there is clarity as to what constitutes a "material disposal". Will this be determined with reference to the size test for notifiable transactions? Regarding the three-year period, please see the questions raised in item (ii) of the response to question 3(a) regarding the extension of the existing two-year period to three years. (b) Do you agree with the proposal to add a Note to proposed Rule 14.06E described in paragraph 59 of the Consultation Paper? Yes		Yes
We agree, in principle, with the proposal in relation to material disposals, provided that there is clarity as to what constitutes a "material disposal". Will this be determined with reference to the size test for notifiable transactions? Regarding the three-year period, please see the questions raised in item (ii) of the response to question 3(a) regarding the extension of the existing two-year period to three years. (b) Do you agree with the proposal to add a Note to proposed Rule 14.06E described in paragraph 59 of the Consultation Paper?		No
We agree, in principle, with the proposal in relation to material disposals, provided that there is clarity as to what constitutes a "material disposal". Will this be determined with reference to the size test for notifiable transactions? Regarding the three-year period, please see the questions raised in item (ii) of the response to question 3(a) regarding the extension of the existing two-year period to three years. (b) Do you agree with the proposal to add a Note to proposed Rule 14.06E described in paragraph 59 of the Consultation Paper?	If v	our answer is "No". please give reasons for your views.
described in paragraph 59 of the Consultation Paper? ✓ Yes	pr W	
□ No	Re (ii)	Ill this be determined with reference to the size test for notifiable insactions? Egarding the three-year period, please see the questions raised in item of the response to question 3(a) regarding the extension of the existing
	Re (ii) tw	Ill this be determined with reference to the size test for notifiable insactions? Egarding the three-year period, please see the questions raised in item of the response to question 3(a) regarding the extension of the existing o-year period to three years. Do you agree with the proposal to add a Note to proposed Rule 14.06E described in paragraph 59 of the Consultation Paper?

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

We agree, in principle, with the proposal, but we consider that the wording of the note may need to be looked at again. We refer to the concern raised in our response to question 2 (see item (ii) of that response)about treating the single largest substantial shareholder of a company, in all cases, as if he/she has control over the company, and about defining "de facto control."

6.	(a)	Do you agree with the proposal to add a new Rule 14.06C for "extreme transactions" as described in paragraph 62 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes
		No
	If vo	our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

We have reservations about this proposal, as the codification of a new category of transactions (i.e., "extreme transcations"), based on qualitative rather than any specific quantitative criteria, could add confusion and complexity to the market.

Were the proposal to be adopted, it should take into account the characteristics of "new economy" issuers (including e.g., biotech companies), the scale of which may not be determined solely by the size of assets or amount of revenue.

Therefore, the requirement that an issuer should have been operating a "a principal business with substantial size", which paragraph 64 of the consultation paper suggests should entail annual revenue or total asset value of at least HK\$1 billion, may be too restrictive and inflexible. It also seems to discriminate against smaller listed issuers and potentially discourage their expansion by merger and acquisition, under normal business circumstances. We suggest that HKEX consider not making reference to any specific size guideline but look at individual cases on their own merits?

Therefore, if HKEX accepts that the target business meets the eligibility and suitability requirements and circumvention of the new listing requirements is not a material concern, the rules may not need to impose any specific size test on the principal business.

(b) Do you agree with the disclosure requirements for circulars of extreme transactions set out in proposed Rules 14.53A(1) and 14.69?
☑ Yes
□ No
If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views. See our response to question 6(a). However, if this new category is introduced, despite the concerns that we raise, then it is logical that appropriate disclosure requirements should apply.
(c) Do you agree with the due diligence requirements for extreme transactions under proposed Rule 14.53A(2)?
✓ Yes
□ No
If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views. Yes, but see our response to question 6(a).
(a) Do you agree with the proposal to amend Rule 14.54 and to add Rule 14.06C(2) as described in paragraph 69(i) of the Consultation Paper?
☑ Yes
□ No
If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
Yes, in principle, we agree with the proposal.

7.

	Yes
	No
	our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
kn iss red	isiness may have significant accumulated losses. Although a "white light" may propose to inject profitable businesses/ assets into the suer, the enlarged group may still fail to meet the track record quirement, for perhaps one or more years, when the profits of the
gro or	quistion target are offset against the issuer's existing losses. Therefore suggest that, in a provisional liquidation situation, there should be eater flexibility to, e.g., look beyond the three-year track-record period, only partially offset the legacy losses against the profits of the quisition target, when looking at the circumstances of the enlarged
gre or ac gre	e suggest that, in a provisional liquidation situation, there should be eater flexibility to, e.g., look beyond the three-year track-record period, only partially offset the legacy losses against the profits of the equisition target, when looking at the circumstances of the enlarged oup. Do you agree with the proposed Rule 14.57A to clarify the track recrequirements for extreme transactions and RTOs that involve a series
gre or ac gre	e suggest that, in a provisional liquidation situation, there should be eater flexibility to, e.g., look beyond the three-year track-record period, only partially offset the legacy losses against the profits of the equisition target, when looking at the circumstances of the enlarged oup. Do you agree with the proposed Rule 14.57A to clarify the track records.
gre or ac gre	e suggest that, in a provisional liquidation situation, there should be eater flexibility to, e.g., look beyond the three-year track-record period, only partially offset the legacy losses against the profits of the equisition target, when looking at the circumstances of the enlarged oup. Do you agree with the proposed Rule 14.57A to clarify the track recrequirements for extreme transactions and RTOs that involve a series
we gro or ac gro	e suggest that, in a provisional liquidation situation, there should be eater flexibility to, e.g., look beyond the three-year track-record period, only partially offset the legacy losses against the profits of the equisition target, when looking at the circumstances of the enlarged oup. Do you agree with the proposed Rule 14.57A to clarify the track recrequirements for extreme transactions and RTOs that involve a series transactions and/or arrangements?
we grace or ac grace (a)	e suggest that, in a provisional liquidation situation, there should be eater flexibility to, e.g., look beyond the three-year track-record period, only partially offset the legacy losses against the profits of the equisition target, when looking at the circumstances of the enlarged oup. Do you agree with the proposed Rule 14.57A to clarify the track re requirements for extreme transactions and RTOs that involve a serie transactions and/or arrangements? Yes No
we grand or according grand (a)	e suggest that, in a provisional liquidation situation, there should be eater flexibility to, e.g., look beyond the three-year track-record period, only partially offset the legacy losses against the profits of the equisition target, when looking at the circumstances of the enlarged oup. Do you agree with the proposed Rule 14.57A to clarify the track recrequirements for extreme transactions and RTOs that involve a series transactions and/or arrangements? Yes

(b) Do you agree with the proposal to amend Rule 14.54 to impose additional

(b) Do you agree with the proposed Rule 4.30 that sets out the requirements for preparing pro forma income statement of all the acquisition targets in the entire series of acquisitions (where applicable, would include any new business developed by the issuer that forms part of the series) for the track record period?

	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Yes
		No
		our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views. es, subject to the Institute's detailed comments in the Appendix.
9.	mo	you agree with the proposal to add a new Rule 14.06D to codify, with dification, the practice under Guidance Letter GL84-15 as described in agraph 81 of the Consultation Paper?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes
		No
	If y	our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
10.	suf	you agree with the proposal to require issuers to have a business with a ficient level of operations <u>and</u> assets of sufficient value to support its operations varrant the continued listing of the issuer's securities?
		Yes
		No
	If y	our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
	HF ec	principle, "yes", but it is suggested that, when making an assessment, KEX should also take into account the characteristics of "new onomy" companies, for which innovation and intellectual vitality may more important than substantial asset size.
11.	(a)	Do you agree with the proposal to add a Note to the proposed Rule 13.24(1) as described in paragraphs 107 to 109 of the Consultation Paper?
		Yes

□ No
If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
While we have no specific objection to the addition of a note, we believe that it should aim to provide clarity and as much certainty as possible. Paragraph 108 of the consultation paper, on the other hand, proposes wording that is very open ended and could create uncertainty, i.e.:
"The Note would also specify that the Exchange will make an assessment based on the specific facts and circumstances of individual issuers. The onus is upon the issuer to demonstrate to the Exchange's satisfaction that it is in compliance with the Rule."
(b) Do you agree with the proposal to remove the Note to Rule 13.24 as describe in paragraph 112 of the Consultation Paper?
□ Yes
☑ No
If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
The existing Note to Rule 13.24 provides specific guidance, which is helpful. While we appreciate that it does not adequately describe all the relevant situations where an issuer may not comply with Rule 13.24, and also that an example of how the rule may be applied to a money lending business will be added, we would nevertheless suggest that additional examples and guidance be added, rather than removing the existing note. A general statement could also be included to make it clear that the test is a qualitative test.
Do you agree with the proposal to exclude an issuer's securities trading and/o investment activities (other than a Chapter 21 company) when considering the sufficiency of the issuer's operations and assets under Rule 13.24?
☑ Yes
□ No
If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

12.

13.	Do you agree with the proposal to extend the definition of short-dated securities in the cash company Rules to cover investments that are easily convertible into cash ("short-term investments")?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
14.	Do you agree with the proposal that the exemption under Rule 14.83 shall only be confined to clients' assets relating to the issuer's securities brokerage business?
	□ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
	If the intention is that a securities brokerage trading primarily for its own account should be regarded as a cash company, it would be clearer if this were dealt with more directly than simply by means of an amendment to Rule 14.83; even though any changes could include an amendment to that Rule. We suggest that HKEX provide a clear definition of a "securities"
	brokerage business" and indicate explicitly that such businesses may be regarded as cash companies under certain situations, and what those circumstances are.
15.	Do you agree with the proposal to confine the revenue exemption to purchases
	and sales of securities only if they are conducted by banking companies, insurance companies and securities houses within the listed issuers' group?
	☑ Yes

	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
16.	Do you agree with the proposal to require issuers to disclose in their annual reports details of each securities investment that represents 5% or more of their total assets (as described in paragraph 134 of the Consultation Paper)?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	We agree with the proposal. However it is suggested that HKEX should clarify the timing of determining the 5% threshold, i.e., whether it should be based on the value at the time that the investment is made or at the end of the reporting period. It may be that a securities investment which exceeded the threshold when it was made is below the threshold at end of the reporting period, due to a reduction in its value or an increase in total asset value of the issuer; the reverse situation may also be true.
17.	Do you agree with the proposal to codify the requirements set out in Listing Decision LD75-4 (as described in paragraph 137 of the Consultation Paper) for significant distribution in specie of unlisted assets into the Rules?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

18. Do you agree with the proposal to require disclosure on any subsequent change and the outcome of any financial performance guarantee of a target acquired by

ıne	Consultation Paper?
	Yes
	No
If y	our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
(a)	Do you agree with the proposal to require disclosure on the identity of the parties to a transaction in the announcements and circulars of notifiable transactions?
	Yes
	No
If y	our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
(b)	Do you agree with the proposal to require the disclosure on the identities ar activities of the parties to the transaction and of their ultimate benefici owners in the announcements of connected transactions?
	Yes
	No
ш	

20. Do you agree with the proposal that if any calculation of the percentage ratios produces an anomalous result or is inappropriate to the sphere of activities of the issuer, the Exchange (or the issuer) may apply an alternative size test that it

consi or 14	ders appropriate to assess the materiality of a transaction under Chapter 14 A?
	Yes
	No
If you	ır answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

We agree. It is suggested that HKEX should issue guidance on the alternative size tests that it may apply under different circumstances, in order to provide greater clarity and certainity.

- End -

ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT SUB-COMMITTEE'S COMMENTS ON HKEX CONSULTATION PAPER ON BACKDOOR LISTING, CONTINUING LISTING CRITERIA AND OTHER RULE AMENDMENTS

- Q8(a) Do you agree with the proposed Rule 14.57A to clarify the track record requirements for extreme transactions and RTOs that involve a series of transactions and/or arrangements? If not, why?
 - (b) Do you agree with the proposed Rule 4.30 that sets out the requirements for preparing pro forma income statement of all the acquisition targets in the entire series of acquisitions (where applicable, would include any new business developed by the issuer that forms part of the series) for the track record period? If not, why?

Our responses:

In principle, we have no objection to the proposed Rule 14.57A and Rule 4.30 in relation to the requirements for a RTO or an extreme transaction.

We would like to bring to the attention of the HKEX that the extant relevant HKICPA pronouncements on the preparation of and reporting on pro forma financial information, namely, Accounting Guideline 7 *Preparation of Pro Forma Financial Information for Inclusion in Investment Circulars* and Hong Kong Standard on Assurance Engagement 3420 *Assurance Engagements to Report on the Compilation of Pro Forma Financial Information Included in a Prospectus*, do not provide the relevant guidance on the preparation of pro forma financial information and the respective reporting for the purposes of the proposed new rules (for example, pro forma financial information for a three-year track record period and for the purpose of demonstrating the satisfaction of the Rule 8.05 financial requirements). It is envisaged that upon the finalisation of the proposed Listing Rules, the HKICPA would, in consultation with the Exchange, develop the appropriate new and/or revised guidance for the preparation of and reporting on such pro forma information.

In respect of the proposed Rule 4.30, it would be better to have the relevant guidance for extreme transactions or reverse takeovers involving series of transactions and/or arrangements without the cross references to Rule 4.29 (i.e. proposed Rule 4.30(4)). The wording as originally drafted may lead to some confusion. For example, a cross reference to Rule 4.29(6) may not be appropriate as Rule 4.29(6) itself includes a

reference to Rule 4.29(5), which is not applicable to the subject matter. We believe the proposed revisions below would help clarify the requirements for these extreme transactions or RTOs. The following editorial changes are proposed for the Exchange's consideration:

- 4.30 In the case of an extreme transaction or reverse takeover that involves a series of transactions and/or arrangements, the circular or listing document must contain pro forma income statement of all the acquisition targets in the series of acquisitions (where applicable, would include any new businesses developed by the issuer that form part of the series) for the track record period.
 - (1) The purpose of the pro forma income statement is for the listed issuer to provide investors with information about the financial results of all acquisition targets on an aggregated basis by combining the historical income statements of the acquisition targets as if they had been operated as a single group on an aggregated basis since the commencement of the track record period. This information will be used by the Exchange in assessing if the acquisition targets that form the series of acquisitions can meet the requirements of rule 8.05 (or rule 9.05A or 8.05B).
 - (2) The pro forma financial information must be published in respect of each of the financial years/periods of the track record period.
 - (3) The pro forma income statement must clearly state
 - (a) the purpose for which it has been prepared
 - (b) that it is prepared for illustrative purposes only; and
 - (c) that because of its nature, it may not give a true picture of the financial results of all acquisition targets in the series of acquisitions.
 - (4) The pro forma income statement must be presented in columnar format showing separately the unadjusted financial information, the pro forma adjustments and the pro forma financial information. The pro forma income statement must be prepared in a manner consistent with both the format and accounting policies adopted by the issuer in its financial statements and must identify:
 - (a) the basis upon which it is prepared; and
 - (b) the source of each item of information and adjustment.
 - Pro forma figures must be given no greater prominence in the document than audited figures.
 - (5) The unadjusted information must be derived from the acquisition targets'

- accountants' reports for the track record period.
- (6) Any adjustments which are made to the information referred to in rule 4.30(4) in relation to any pro forma income statement must be:
 - (a) clearly shown and explained;
 - (b) directly attributable to the series of transactions and/or arrangements concerned and not relating to future events or decisions;
 - (c) factually supportable; and
 - (d) clearly identified as to those adjustments which are expected to have a continuing effect on the acquisition targets and those which are not.
- (7) The pro forma income statement must be reported on in the circular or listing document by the auditors or reporting accountants who must report that, in their opinion:
 - (a) the pro forma income statement has been properly compiled on the basis stated;
 - (b) such basis is consistent with the accounting policies of the issuer; and
 - (c) the adjustments are appropriate for the purposes of the pro forma income statement as disclosed pursuant to rule 4.30(1).